# GRANULOSA THECA CELL TUMOUR OF OVARY (A CLINICO PATHOLOGICAL STUDY OF 9 CASES WITH BRIEF REVIEW OF LITERATURE)

by

N. M. Mehta,\* M.B.B.S. D. R. Mathur,\*\* M.D. Annpurna Mathur,\*\*\* M.S. and

### SUSHIL RAO, \*\*\*\* M.B.B.S.

Granulosa theca cell tumour is not an uncommon neoplasm of ovary. According to Hughesdon (1958) the first unequivocal granulosa cell tumour was described by Von Werdt (1914). Mayer (1931) postulated that these tumours originated in the vestiges of embryonal "granulosa ballen", which remained dormant for years to produce the tumour in later life, following some unknown stimulation.

Amongst the sex cord stromal tumours of ovary the granulose theca cell tumour is most frequently associated with endocrine anomalies. The reported incidence of granulosa theca cell tumour varies from 1.35 to 5.5 per cent of all the ovarian neoplasms. A large series of granulosa theca cell tumours have been reported in India by Maheshwari *et al* (1981) and Ramchandran *et al* (1972).

### Material and Methods

Nine cases of granulosa theca cell

	Ser	ior	De	mo	nsi	tra	tor.
--	-----	-----	----	----	-----	-----	------

\*\* Lecturer,

\*\*\* Lecturer,

\*\*\*\* House Officer,

Departments of Pathology and Obstetrics & Gynaecology, Dr. Sampurnand Medical College, Jodhpur (Raj).

Accepted for publication on 4-9-1981.

tumours were examined during last 10 years (1971-1980) at Department of Pathology, Dr. Sampurnanand Medical College, Jodhpur. These cases have been reviewed and classified as per Maheshwari *et al* (1981). The available data were analysed in regard to age, clinical presentations and histological features.

# Observations

The total number of ovarian tumours studied during last 10 years were 290. Out of these, 9 were diagnosed as granulosa theca cell tumours, thus constituting 3.1% of total ovarian neoplasms.

The age incidence has been shown in Table I.

	TABLE I Age Incidence	-
Age	Range	No. of cases
20-29	Years	4
30-39	Years	2
40-49	Years	2
AY #	Years	1

The maximum number of cases were in the child bearing age. Two cases were detected in post menopausal age.

#### GRANULOSA THECA CELL TUMOUR OF OVARY

The various symptoms and signs observed in granulosa theca cell tumours are shown in Table II.

TABLE II Symptoms and Signs	
Symptoms and Sign	No. of cases
Lump in abdomen	7
Pain in abdomen	3
Amenorrhoea	1
Irregular bleeding	3
Menorrhagia	2
Ascitis	1
Post menopausal bleeding	2

The commonest complaint was lump in abdomen, followed by pain in abdomen. One case of granulosa theca cell tumour was associated with pregnancy.

The histological typing and microscopic patterns have been shown in Table III.

The most common histological type was predominant granulosa type. Similarly, the folliculoid pattern was present in majority of the cases.

Grossly, tumours varied from  $2 \times 1 \times 1$ to  $26 \times 22 \times 18$  cms. in dimensions. Out of 9 cases, large granulose theca cell tumour of more than 25 centimaters diameter were seen in 2 cases, at 30 years of age. The consistency was solid in 2 cases and solid to cystic in 7 cases.

# Comments

The incidence of granulosa theca cell tumour varied from 1.35 to 5.5% of total ovarian tumours.

In the present study, the incidence of granulosa theca cell tumour is 3.1%. These findings are in corroboration with Tyagi *et al* (1967) and Ramchandran *et al* (1972) (Table IV). On the other hand,

Т.	AB	ЪC	Ш	
t.l.	lani	Inc	The	-

the second s	Histolog	ical Typing	
Histological Typing	No. of cases	Microscopic Pattern	No. of cases
Predominant Granulosa	6	Folliculoid type	3
Predominant Theca	1	Trabecular type	2
Mixed type	2	Sarcomatoid type	-
the second s		Pseudo Adenomatous	1
		Mixed type	1

TABLE IV Showing Incidence of GTCT by Indian Workers

Authors	Year	Total No. of ovarian tumours	No. of Granulosa theca cell tumours	Percen- tage
Chitkara and Sharma	1957-58	132	1	0.75%
Agarwal and Saxena	1962	74	1	1.3%
Tyagi et al	1967	120	4	3.33%
Ramchandran et al	1972	903	31	3.43%
Maheshwari et al	1980	905	50	5.52%
Present Series	1981	290	9	3.10%

Chitkara and Sharma (1957-58) and Agarwal and Saxena *et al* (1962) found its incidence as 0.75 and 1.35 per cent respectively. However, their observations were based on small number of ovarian tumour.

All the cases observed in present study were in reproductive period except for 2 patients of post menopausal age group. These findings are in close agreement with Novak et al (1971) and Maheshwari et al (1981). Anikwue et al (1978) observed 70% of their cases in the post menopausal period. The common symptoms in these cases were lump, pain abdomen and menstrual disturbances. Grossly these tumours vary in size from few mm. to 40 cms. (Novak et al 1974). In our series, the size of tumour ranged from 2 to 26 cms. However, the tumour size was more than 10 cms. in 7 cases (77.7%). These findings are in close corroboration with Maheshwari et al (1981). The cut surface presented solid appearance of tumour with cystic changes in majority of cases. The other authors have also described similar appearances (Tyagi et al, 1967 and Anikwue et al, 1978).

Histological picture was predominantly of granulosa cell type, out of which folli-

culoid pattern was the commonest. Similar findings were observed by Anikwue *et al* (1978) and stage and Grafton (1977). Only 1 case showed the features of frank malignancy.

#### References

- Agarwal, V. and Saxena, B. P.: J. Indian Med. Assoc. 38: 158, 1962.
- Anikwue, C., Dawood, M. Y. and Karmer, E.: Obstet. Gyne. 51: 214, 1978.
- Chitkara, N. L. and Sharma, R. N.: J. Obstet. Gynec. India. 8: 115, 1957-58.
- Hyghesdon, P. E.: J. Obstet. Gynec. Brit. Emp. 65: 702, 1958.
- Maheshwari, H. B., Bazaz Malik, G. and Logani, K. B.: Indian J. Pathol. Microbiol. 24: 119, 1981.
- Mayer, R.: Am. J. Obstet. Gynec. 22: 697, 1931.
- Novak, E. R. and Kutchmeshg, J., Mupas, R. S. and Woodruff, J. D.: Obstee. Gynec. 38: 701, 1971.
- Novak, E. R. and Wooodruff, J. D.: Gynecologic and Obstetric Pathology with Clinical and endocrine relation. 7th Ed. Philadelphia, W. B. Saunders, 1974, pp. 449.
- Ramchandran, G., Harilal, K. R., Chinnamma, K. K. and Thangavelu, H.: J. Obstet. Gynec. India. 22: 309, 1972.
- Stage, A. H. and Grafton, W. D.: Obstet. Gynec. 50: 21, 1977.
- Tyagi, S. P., Tyaqi, G. K. and Logani, K. B.: J. Obestet. Gynec. India. 17: 423, 1967.

See Fig. on Art Paper I